Acta Crystallographica Section E
Structure Reports Online
ISSN 1600-5368

N,*N*′-Bis(4-bromo-2-fluorobenzylidene)-ethane-1,2-diamine

Hoong-Kun Fun* and Reza Kia‡

X-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia
Correspondence e-mail: hkfun@usm.my

Received 9 September 2008; accepted 10 September 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 100 K; mean σ(C–C) = 0.004 Å; R factor = 0.041; wR factor = 0.101; data-to-parameter ratio = 26.3.

The molecule of the title Schiff base compound, $C_{16}H_{12}Br_2F_2N_2$, lies across a crystallographic inversion centre and adopts an *E* configuration with respect to the azomethine C═N bonds. The imino groups are coplanar with the aromatic rings. Within the molecule, the planar units are parallel, but extend in opposite directions from the dimethylene bridge. An interesting feature of the crystal structure is the short intermolecular Br···F interactions [3.2347 (16) Å, which is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of these atoms]. These interactions link neighbouring molecules along the *c* axis. The crystal structure is further stabilized by intermolecular C—H···N hydrogen bonds.

Related literature

For bond-length data, see: Allen *et al.* (1987). For halogen–halogen interactions, see: Ramasubbu *et al.* (1986); Brammer *et al.* (2003). For related structures, see, for example: Fun & Kia (2008*a*,*b*,*c*): Fun *et al.* (2008). For Schiff base complexes and their applications, see, for example: Pal *et al.* (2005); Calligaris & Randaccio, (1987); Hou *et al.* (2001); Ren *et al.* (2002).



Experimental

Crystal data

$C_{16}H_{12}Br_2F_2N_2$
M_r = 430.10
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
a = 4.1981 (1) Å
b = 14.6190 (3) Å
c = 12.8861 (3) Å
β = 104.751 (2)°
V = 764.78 (3) Å^3
Z = 2
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
μ = 5.32 mm^{-1}
T = 100.0 (1) K
0.51 × 0.07 × 0.05 mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2005)
T_{min} = 0.172, T_{max} = 0.769
19361 measured reflections
2631 independent reflections
1907 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
R_{int} = 0.050

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$ = 0.040
$wR(F^2)$ = 0.100
S = 1.05
2631 reflections
100 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta\rho_{max}$ = 1.40 e Å^{-3}
$\Delta\rho_{min}$ = −0.85 e Å^{-3}

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H···A
C2—H2A···N1^{i}	0.93	2.53	3.386 (3)	154

Symmetry code: (i) $x, -y-\frac{1}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: *APEX2*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2005); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

HKF and RK thank the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for the Science Fund grant No. 305/PFIZIK/613312. RK thanks Universiti Sains Malaysia for the award of a post-doctoral research fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: AT2629).

References

Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, pp. S1–S19.
Brammer, L., Espallargas, M. E. & Adams, H. (2003). *CrystEngComm*, **5**, 343–345.
Bruker (2005). *APEX2*, *SAINT* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Calligaris, M. & Randaccio, L. (1987). *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry*, Vol. 2, edited by G. Wilkinson, pp. 715–738. London: Pergamon.
Fun, H.-K., Kargar, H. & Kia, R. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* E**64**, o1894.
Fun, H.-K. & Kia, R. (2008*a*). *Acta Cryst.* E**64**, o1722–o1723.
Fun, H.-K. & Kia, R. (2008*b*). *Acta Cryst.* E**64**, o1870–o1871.
Fun, H.-K. & Kia, R. (2008*c*). *Acta Cryst.*, E**64**, submitted **[CV2444]**.
Hou, B., Friedman, N., Ruhman, S., Sheves, M. & Ottolenghi, M. (2001). *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **105**, 7042–7048.
Pal, S., Barik, A. K., Gupta, S., Hazra, A., Kar, S. K., Peng, S.-M., Lee, G.-H., Butcher, R. J., El Fallah, M. S. & Ribas, J. (2005). *Inorg. Chem.* **44**, 3880–3889.

‡ Additional correspondence author, e-mail: zsrkk@yahoo.com.

Ramasubbu, N., Parthasathy, R. & Murry-Rust, P. (1986). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **108**, 4308–4314.
Ren, S., Wang, R., Komatsu, K., Bonaz-Krause, P., Zyrianov, Y., McKenna, C. E., Csipke, C., Tokes, Z. A. & Lien, E. J. (2002). *J. Med. Chem.* **45**, 410–419.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* A**64**, 112–122.
Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E**64**, o1941-o1942 [**doi:10.1107/S1600536808029000**]

N,*N*'-Bis(4-bromo-2-fluorobenzylidene)ethane-1,2-diamine

H.-K. Fun and R. Kia

Comment

Schiff bases are one of most prevalent mixed-donor ligands in the field of coordination chemistry. There has been growing interest in Schiff base ligands, mainly because of their wide application in the field of biochemistry, synthesis, and catalysis (Pal *et al.*, 2005; Hou *et al.*, 2001; Ren *et al.*, 2002). Many Schiff base complexes have been structurally characterized, but only a relatively small number of free Schiff bases have been characterized (Calligaris & Randaccio, 1987). As an extension of our work (Fun & Kia 2008*a,b,c*; Fun *et al.*, 2008) on the structural characterization of Schiff base ligands, and the halogen-halogen interactions in the halogen-subtituated Schiff bases, the title compound (I), is reported here.

The molecule of the title compound, (I), (Fig. 1), lies across a crystallographic inversion centre and adopts an *E* configuration with respect to the azomethine C═N bond. The bond lengths (Allen *et al.*, 1987) and angles are within normal ranges and are comparable with the related structures (Fun & Kia 2008*a,b,c*; Fun *et al.*, 2008). The two planar units are parallel but extend in opposite directions from the dimethylene bridge. The interesting feature of the crystal structure is the short intermolecular Br···F interactions [symmetry code: 1 - *x*, -1/2 + *y*, 1/2 - *z*] with the distance of 3.2347 (16) Å, which is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of these atoms. The directionality of these interactions, C—*X*···*X*—C (*X* = halogens), has been attributed to anisotropic van der Waals radii for terminally bound halogens or ascribed to donor-acceptor interactions involving a lone pair donor orbital on one halogen and a C—*X* σ^* acceptor orbital on the other (Ramasubbu *et al.*, 1986; Brammer *et al.*, 2003). These interactions link neighbouring molecules along the *c*-axis (Fig. 2). The crystal structure is further stabilized by intermolecular C—H···N hydrogen bonds (Table 1).

Experimental

The synthetic method has been described earlier (Fun & Kia 2008*a*). Single crystals suitable for *X*-ray diffraction were obtained by evaporation of an ethanol solution at room temperature.

Refinement

All of the hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically with C—H = 0.93 or 0.97 Å and refined in riding model with U_{iso} (H) = 1.2 U_{eq} (C). The highest peak is located 1.73 Å from Br1 and the deepest hole is located 0.7 Å from Br1.

Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) with atom labels and 50% probability ellipsoids for non-H atoms [symmetry code for A: -*x*, -*y*, -*z*.



Fig. 2. The crystal packing of (I), viewed down the *a*-axis, shows linking of molecules by Br···F contacts along the *c*-axis and the stacking of these molecules down the *a*-axis. Intermolecular interactions are shown as dashed lines.

N,N'-Bis(4-bromo-2-fluorobenzylidene)ethane-1,2-diamine

Crystal data

$C_{16}H_{12}Br_2F_2N_2$	F_{000} = 420
M_r = 430.10	D_x = 1.868 Mg m^{-3}
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation λ = 0.71073 Å
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	Cell parameters from 5643 reflections
a = 4.19810 (10) Å	θ = 3.2–30.0º
b = 14.6190 (3) Å	μ = 5.32 mm^{-1}
c = 12.8861 (3) Å	T = 100.0 (1) K
β = 104.751 (2)º	Needle, colourless
V = 764.78 (3) Å^3	0.51 × 0.07 × 0.05 mm
Z = 2	

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer	2631 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1907 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	R_{int} = 0.050
T = 100.0(1) K	θ_{max} = 32.0º
φ and ω scans	θ_{min} = 2.2º
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2005)	$h = -6 \rightarrow 6$
T_{min} = 0.172, T_{max} = 0.770	$k = -21 \rightarrow 21$
19361 measured reflections	$l = -19 \rightarrow 18$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$ = 0.040	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2)$ = 0.100	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0539P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$
2631 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{max}$ = 1.40 e Å^{-3}

100 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.85$ e Å^{-3}
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2, conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2. The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å^2)

	x	*y*	*z*	U_{iso}*/U_{eq}
Br1	−0.74079 (7)	−0.51485 (2)	0.18481 (2)	0.02329 (11)
F1	−0.0347 (4)	−0.22639 (11)	0.31348 (12)	0.0267 (4)
N1	−0.0960 (6)	−0.12204 (15)	0.01873 (19)	0.0192 (5)
C1	−0.2112 (7)	−0.27577 (19)	0.2280 (2)	0.0199 (5)
C2	−0.3675 (7)	−0.35336 (19)	0.2493 (2)	0.0206 (6)
H2A	−0.3576	−0.3716	0.3192	0.025*
C3	−0.5404 (7)	−0.40314 (18)	0.1619 (2)	0.0181 (5)
C4	−0.5632 (7)	−0.37558 (19)	0.0569 (2)	0.0215 (6)
H4A	−0.6819	−0.4099	−0.0009	0.026*
C5	−0.4047 (7)	−0.29567 (19)	0.0405 (2)	0.0208 (6)
H5A	−0.4212	−0.2761	−0.0293	0.025*
C6	−0.2210 (6)	−0.24370 (18)	0.1260 (2)	0.0174 (5)
C7	−0.0381 (6)	−0.16150 (18)	0.1090 (2)	0.0182 (5)
H7A	0.1239	−0.1380	0.1659	0.022*
C8	0.1076 (7)	−0.04254 (19)	0.0114 (2)	0.0208 (5)
H8A	0.2171	−0.0516	−0.0456	0.025*
H8B	0.2750	−0.0349	0.0783	0.025*

Atomic displacement parameters (Å^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Br1	0.02442 (16)	0.01848 (15)	0.02622 (17)	−0.00289 (11)	0.00507 (11)	0.00316 (11)
F1	0.0383 (10)	0.0214 (9)	0.0173 (8)	−0.0032 (7)	0.0014 (7)	−0.0029 (6)
N1	0.0213 (11)	0.0165 (11)	0.0209 (11)	−0.0001 (9)	0.0072 (9)	0.0004 (9)
C1	0.0220 (13)	0.0195 (14)	0.0163 (12)	0.0024 (10)	0.0015 (10)	−0.0035 (10)
C2	0.0261 (14)	0.0187 (13)	0.0168 (13)	0.0035 (10)	0.0051 (11)	0.0018 (10)
C3	0.0191 (12)	0.0153 (12)	0.0209 (13)	−0.0006 (9)	0.0066 (10)	0.0012 (10)
C4	0.0221 (13)	0.0231 (14)	0.0187 (13)	−0.0001 (11)	0.0042 (11)	−0.0006 (11)
C5	0.0231 (13)	0.0197 (13)	0.0185 (13)	0.0003 (10)	0.0034 (11)	0.0022 (10)

C6	0.0192 (13)	0.0142 (12)	0.0196 (13)	0.0031 (9)	0.0064 (10)	0.0015 (10)
C7	0.0182 (12)	0.0151 (12)	0.0210 (13)	0.0016 (9)	0.0042 (10)	−0.0022 (10)
C8	0.0178 (12)	0.0194 (12)	0.0251 (14)	−0.0027 (10)	0.0055 (10)	−0.0026 (11)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Br1—C3	1.895 (3)	C4—C5	1.387 (4)
F1—C1	1.366 (3)	C4—H4A	0.9300
N1—C7	1.265 (3)	C5—C6	1.398 (4)
N1—C8	1.460 (4)	C5—H5A	0.9300
C1—C2	1.373 (4)	C6—C7	1.472 (4)
C1—C6	1.387 (4)	C7—H7A	0.9300
C2—C3	1.382 (4)	C8—C8^{i}	1.521 (6)
C2—H2A	0.9300	C8—H8A	0.9700
C3—C4	1.391 (4)	C8—H8B	0.9700
C7—N1—C8	116.3 (2)	C4—C5—H5A	119.1
F1—C1—C2	117.7 (2)	C6—C5—H5A	119.1
F1—C1—C6	117.7 (2)	C1—C6—C5	116.2 (2)
C2—C1—C6	124.6 (3)	C1—C6—C7	121.8 (2)
C1—C2—C3	116.8 (3)	C5—C6—C7	122.0 (2)
C1—C2—H2A	121.6	N1—C7—C6	121.6 (2)
C3—C2—H2A	121.6	N1—C7—H7A	119.2
C2—C3—C4	122.2 (2)	C6—C7—H7A	119.2
C2—C3—Br1	119.2 (2)	N1—C8—C8^{i}	109.6 (3)
C4—C3—Br1	118.6 (2)	N1—C8—H8A	109.8
C5—C4—C3	118.4 (3)	C8^{i}—C8—H8A	109.8
C5—C4—H4A	120.8	N1—C8—H8B	109.8
C3—C4—H4A	120.8	C8^{i}—C8—H8B	109.8
C4—C5—C6	121.9 (3)	H8A—C8—H8B	108.2
F1—C1—C2—C3	−179.0 (2)	F1—C1—C6—C7	2.4 (4)
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.3 (4)	C2—C1—C6—C7	−177.9 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	−1.5 (4)	C4—C5—C6—C1	−1.1 (4)
C1—C2—C3—Br1	176.3 (2)	C4—C5—C6—C7	176.7 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.4 (4)	C8—N1—C7—C6	−178.5 (2)
Br1—C3—C4—C5	−177.4 (2)	C1—C6—C7—N1	−165.9 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C6	1.0 (4)	C5—C6—C7—N1	16.4 (4)
F1—C1—C6—C5	−179.8 (2)	C7—N1—C8—C8^{i}	−115.8 (3)
C2—C1—C6—C5	−0.1 (4)		

Symmetry codes: (i) −*x*, −*y*, −*z*.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···*A*	*D*—H	H···*A*	*D*···*A*	*D*—H···*A*
C2—H2A···N1^{ii}	0.93	2.53	3.386 (3)	154

Symmetry codes: (ii) *x*, −*y*−1/2, *z*+1/2.

Fig. 1


F1
C1
C2
C3
Br1
C4
C5
C6
C7
N1
C8
C8A
N1A
C7A
C6A
C5A
C4A
Br1A
C3A
C2A
C1A
F1A

Fig. 2